



MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2024

LAND USE HEARING

The Board of County Commissioners met at 3:00 p.m. with Rebecca Everette, Community Development Director. Chair Kefalas presided. Commissioner Shadduck-McNally and Commissioner Stephens were present. Also present were Frank Haug, Assistant County Attorney; Jenny Axmacher, Planning Manager; Michael Whitley, Senior Planner; Laura Culleton, Planner; Justin Currie, Planner; and Tessa Beaty, Deputy Clerk.

Chair Kefalas opened the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC HEARING CONSENT ITEMS:

1. AMENDED PLAT OF LOT 3, RISTVUE RLUP, FILE NO. 23-LAND4375: The applicant/property owner requests an amended plat to change the existing building envelope on Lot 3 of the Ristvue RLIP. Lot 3 is located at 1033 David Ranch Road in Bellvue, CO, and is currently vacant. No easements or rights-of-way are being vacated in conjunction with this request.

The proposed amended plat includes expanding the existing building envelope on Lot 3 of the Ristvue RLUP. The proposed expansion of the building envelope to the west would allow the property owners the opportunity to build a single-family home in their desired location. The proposed modification would reduce the western property line setback from 250' to 25'. The resultant building envelope would maintain the 25' setback along the northern property line and the 50' setback along the southern property line. Per the applicant's project description, by amending the building envelope, the applicants will be able to avoid any disruption to the naturally occurring ditch, not have to cut down any trees, utilize standard building techniques, and build a south-facing home for the benefit of solar power and a passive house. Additionally, they stated that they can better orientate the home for increased privacy from the western neighbor.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TEAM RECOMMENDATION:

The Development Services Team recommends approval of the Amended Plat of Lot 3, Ristvue RLIP, File No. 23-LAND4375, subject to the following conditions:

1. All conditions of approval shall be met, and the final plat is recorded within six months of the hearing date, or this approval shall be null and void.

2. The property will be subject to all restrictions, covenants, and conditions outlined in the plat of record for the Ristvue RLUP.
3. Any future uses of lot three shall obtain approval through the applicable review procedures as established in the Larimer County Land Use Code before the commencement of the use.
4. The findings and resolution shall be a servitude running with the property. Those owners of the property or any portion of the property who obtain title after the date of recording of the findings and resolution, their heirs, successors, assigns, or transferees, and persons holding under applicants shall comply with the terms and conditions of this amended plat approval.

2. PEWE MINOR LAND DIVISION, FILE NO. 23-LAND4329: The subject property is a 5-acre parcel zone RR-2 – Rural Residential. The property contains one single-family residence constructed in 1960, a stable, and one storage shed. The applicant is requesting a Minor Land Division to create two separate legal lots that would be approximately 2.4 acres and 2.33 acres in size. Lot 1 would contain the single-family residence and other existing structures on the property, while Lot 2 would be vacant.

The Minor Land Division process is being used for the division of the existing legal use from the remaining vacant property with the condition that the development of the vacant property (lot 2) must be approved through the land division process that would have been utilized prior to the approval of the minor land division process.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TEAM RECOMMENDATION:

1. All conditions of approval shall be met, and the final plat recorded by July 5, 2024, or this approval shall be null and void.
2. The applicant shall provide a development agreement for review and approval by the Board of County Commissioners no later than May 5, 2024.
3. The applicant shall provide the Engineering Department with construction plans for review no later than May 5, 2024.
4. Until a subdivision process is approved by the Board of County Commissioners, no development, including the construction of a single-family residence or accessory buildings, shall occur on lot 2 of the Pewe Minor Land Division

3. PJ BIGUS LLC, SIGN APPEAL, FILE NO. 23-GNRL0547: The subject property is located on a 1.4-acre parcel at 3214 W Eisenhower Blvd. Loveland, which is located west of the intersection of Eisenhower Blvd. and Namaqua Ave. The property is zone PD – Planned Development, is in the Loveland Growth Management Area and is home to an existing dental business.

The property currently contains an existing monument sign at the main entrance on the south side of Eisenhower Blvd. This sign consists of a sign panel and a temporary banner for one of the businesses. The proposal is to upgrade the sign to improve its functionality as on-premises signage for the dental

office. To do so, the applicant plans on rebuilding the entire sign, reusing only the structural pole that holds the sign up. Reusing the steel pole creates significant cost savings when compared to a fully new sign that would include excavation, concrete, and electrical work. Rocky Mountain Smiles and Renew have included an electronic message display (also called an electronic message center, EMC, or EMD) in the design of the new sign to maximize the flexibility and value of their on-premises sign. This is in line with the language and vision of the surrounding CD zoning to attract potential customers to a provider of service on a busy highway. The electronic message center would be operated with brightness levels, image change timing, and operating times as strictly dictated by the County's sign code.

The applicant has two basic reasons for requesting the relief of a zoning variance. One is a financial hardship, and the other is that the request will differ from the standard in a nominal/inconsequential way when considered in the context of the property and the surrounding uses. Hypothetically, a new sign of this design could be built on the dental office's property 150 feet away from their neighbors' homes. This would add \$25,000 to the cost of the sign. This seems to be asking for the dental office to accept the hardship of this cost to move the sign 50 feet further away from the non-compliant use (a residence in a commercial zone). This additional cost could prevent the dental office from taking action by doing basic maintenance on their existing sign.

Rockey Mountain Smile, Renew, and Action Signs are asking for a reduction in that setback requirement to 100 feet, or 33%, for the single residence that is within 150 feet of the existing sign. The dentists and the sign company have taken great care to show the upgraded sign will meet all other provisions of the Larimer County land use code, including size, setback, and illumination. They have also taken care to make the sign compliant with Loveland regulations if the land was annexed. The electronic message center will be dimmed and turned off at times required by Larimer County. There is an existing illuminated sign at this location that is likely brighter for longer hours than the proposed upgrade. As a unique situation, approval of this request would not result in an economic or marketing advantage over other businesses. Other businesses would have to comply with the same regulations and would have the same opportunity for relief if they had similar challenges. The applicant asks that the 33% setback reduction be understood as but a small part of the whole project in the context of being needed because of a single residence and be accepted as a nuance in this specific situation.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TEAM RECOMMENDATION:

The Development Services Team recommends approval of the PJ Bigus LLC Sign Appeal, File #23-GNRL0547.

MOTION

Commissioner Stephens moved the Board of County Commissioners to approve the consent agenda subject to the conditions outlined in the staff report and further moved the Chair to sign the Findings and Resolution.

Motion carried 3-0.

PUBLIC HEARING DISCUSSION ITEMS:

1. LINDA VISTA SUBDIVISION, FILE NO. 18-LAND3743: The subject property is a 34.78-acre parcel zone O-Open and in the boundary of the LaPorte Area Plan.

The property has an address of 4318 Green Mile Drive, and it is located south of Highway 287, approximately 1/3 mile east of the intersection of Highway 287 and Green Mile Drive.

The parcel is lot 1 of the Weixelman-Mac Minor land division. There is a note on the Minor Land Division plat that restricts the development of the parcel until a Subdivision approval is granted.

If the subdivision is approved, the lot could be developed according to the property's O-open zoning. That could include the construction of one single-family home.

The property gains access from Green Mile Drive, which is currently approximately 10 feet wide. The road must be widened to 20 feet to meet current road design standards.

A shared well and an on-lot septic system would serve the property. The Colorado Division of Water Resources approved a shared well agreement in 2003.

This item was on the Planning Commission's April 20, 2022, public hearing consent agenda.

When this item was presented to the Planning Commission, it included the request for two appeals that are no longer necessary because of changes to the proposed access. Those appeals were to allow access to come from a gated private road and to meet road connectivity requirements.

During the Planning Commission hearing, a member of the public asked to have the item moved to the discussion agenda for a full hearing.

The planning staff gave a brief presentation, which included an overview of the request, review criteria, and staff recommendations.

Three members of the public spoke at the hearing. One property owner who would share a well with this property wanted assurance that the well would be updated with a new pump, and he expressed a desire to see details regarding the water system. A second property owner expressed concerns with maintaining the access road once it is widened. A third property owner had questions about access to the property.

Steven Rothwell explained the road improvement requirements and the need for a hammerhead turnaround on the property.

There was a brief discussion regarding the shared well agreement, which was executed in 2003, and the responsibility for maintaining the improved road.

The Planning Commission recommended approval of the Subdivision, and the motion passed 8-0.

Since the Planning Commission hearing, the property owner has unsuccessfully tried to negotiate the terms of a road maintenance agreement with other property owners adjacent to Green Mile Drive.

Development Services Team staff have discussed the issue with County Attorney staff and concluded that the Subdivision can proceed without a road maintenance agreement. If the Subdivision is approved, the property owner must improve Green Mile Drive to meet current standards. Future maintenance of the road must be negotiated among the property owners adjacent to the road.

PLANNING COMMISSION AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES TEAM
RECOMMENDATION:

The Larimer County Planning Commission and the Development Services Team recommend approval of the Linda Vista Subdivision, File No. 18-LAND3743, subject to the following conditions:

1. The final plat shall be consistent with the approved preliminary plan and with the information contained in the Linda Vista Subdivision File No. 18-LAND3743, except as modified by the conditions of approval or agreement of the County and applicant. The applicant shall be subject to all other verbal or written representations and commitments of record for the Linda Vista Subdivision File No. 18-LAND3743.
2. The following fees shall be collected at building permit issuance for new single-family dwellings: Poudre R-1 School District school fee, Larimer County feeds for County and Regional Transportation Capital Expansion, Larimer County Regional Park Fees (in lieu of dedication), and drainage fees. The fee amount that is current at the time of the building permit application shall apply.
3. Fire requirements – All new residential structures will be required to have residential fire sprinkler systems. Unless otherwise approved by the fire marshal, this requirement shall remain in effect until the infrastructure of the water utility allows for the placement of fire hydrants as required by code. This condition must be noted on the final plat.
4. Passive radon mitigation measures shall be included in the construction of residential structures on these lots. The results of a radon detection test conducted in new dwellings once the structure is enclosed prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy shall be submitted to the Building Department. Alternatively, a builder may present a prepaid receipt from a radon tester, which specifies that the test will be done within 30 days. A permanent certificate of occupancy can be issued when the prepaid receipt is submitted.
5. An access permit will need to be applied for through the Engineering Department for any access construction work in the County right-of-way.
6. A Development Construction Permit will be required prior to the construction of any site improvements.
7. An executed Development Agreement, Engineer's Opinion of the Cost for Public Improvements, and an acceptable form of collateral shall be submitted and approved by the County prior to recording this plat.

8. Per §6.5.6.C.5, this plat may be recorded up to one year from the approval date. If recording is delayed more than six months from the approval date, the Development Agreement, Opinion of Costs, and collateral shall be updated.

STAFF PRESENTATION:

Mr. Whitely gave a brief presentation on the subject property and discussed the process of minor land division and its relationship to this request.

COMMISSIONER QUESTIONS:

Commissioner Stephens asked about road maintenance and whether the County needed proof of the road agreement before final approval. Mr. Haug explained that there is a legal right to use the road and bring it up to code. The residents are responsible for road maintenance, but the County is not responsible for dictating who pays for it.

Commissioner Stephens asked about the timeline of the road maintenance. Mr. Haug explained that the question in front of the Board today deals with whether there is legal and fire access to the property, not the road maintenance.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about the history of this property and whether this property could be divided again. Mr. Whitely explained that there is potential for this property to be divided again, though the applicant has decided to maintain a single division. There is a possibility that the present or a future property owner could continue to divide. Mr. Whitely explained that there is a possibility that new plots could be added, but the Board would decide on each issue.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about the negotiations between neighbors and how long this issue has been discussed. Mr. Whitely explained that there is no detailed history of ongoing road maintenance conversations, but it was an issue raised in April 2022.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about road maintenance and the condition of approval number seven. Mr. Whitely explained the nuance between creating the road and developing an agreement to maintain it. The road maintenance agreement requirement has been removed from this specific condition of approval.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the planning commission decision and the road maintenance agreement. Mr. Whitely explained that the Planning Commission originally assumed an agreement would be created, though it was not a condition of approval. However, since the applicant and the neighbors were not able to come to a consensus, the county attorney became involved.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the well, whether the state had approved the use of the well, and whether it was up to code. Mr. Whitely confirmed that the state believes the well water is sufficient in this case.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the LaPorte Planning Advisory Committee and why it was not involved in this request. Mr. Whitely explained the timeline and why the LaPorte Planning Advisory Committee was not involved.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about how long well approval continues as water conditions change. Mr. Whitely explained that well-access agreements usually stay in effect until they are superseded. Mr. Whitely explained that the Colorado Division of Water Resources decided that there were adequate resources without building a new well.

APPLICANT PRESENTATION:

Gary Weixelman and Linda Weixelman, the applicants, addressed the Board. Mr. Weixelman gave a brief history of this application and how they have worked to meet all requirements for this application. Mr. Weixelman explained that he is open to a shared road agreement with the neighbors and is confident that an agreement will be reached. Ms. Weixelman expressed confidence in the application.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Jesse Weiner, a neighboring property owner, addressed the Board. Ms. Weiner explained that when she bought the neighboring property, it was her belief that the neighboring property would remain open space. Ms. Weiner explained that the property value would decrease with a new build and that road maintenance would be difficult for finances and logistics.

Claudia Schimert, a neighboring property owner, addressed the Board. Ms. Schimert gave a brief history of the road and the road maintenance. Ms. Schimert explained that she hadn't seen Ms. Weixelman contribute to the current road maintenance. Ms. Schimert explained that the application is only beneficial to themselves and is concerned about the price of future road maintenance and the road will increase in size. Ms. Schimert explained that it is her belief that the county should be more responsible for this road.

Gary Becker, a neighboring property owner, addressed the Board. Mr. Becker expressed confusion about the application process and concern about the development of this property.

Brian Derrington, a neighboring property owner, addressed the Board. Mr. Derrington explained that he has a current road improvement agreement and expressed concern about the road maintenance going forward. Mr. Derrington requested that the road stay private.

Commissioner Kefalas closed public comment.

REBUTTAL:

Mr. Weixelman addressed the Board. He explained that he has put in effort to pay for their piece of the road without any success. Mr. Weixelman explained that he would pay for the entirety of the road,

and it is not his requirement to make the road 20 feet. Mr. Weixelman explained that he is happy to work with the neighbors.

Ms. Weixelman explained that the plan was never to leave the land as open space and that she looks forward to reaching a road maintenance agreement.

COMMISSIONER QUESTIONS:

Commissioner Stephens asked for clarification of what the zoning O-Open means. Mr. Whitely explained that O-Open means both agricultural and single-family residential uses. Mr. Whitely explained that it was never set aside for open space.

Commissioner Stephens asked about leaving the road at ten feet instead of twenty feet. Mr. Rothwell explained that twenty feet is the minimum for private road standards. The county requires twenty feet minimum for deliveries and for access for emergency vehicles. Mr. Haug explained that it is vital that emergency vehicles can access the properties. Mr. Haug explained that there is legal access to the road and to subdivide, but it is not the County's responsibility to decide the cost and who pays for the maintenance.

Commissioner Stephens asked why the road existed under the requirements. Mr. Rothwell explained that it depends on when the road was built; the requirements may not have existed.

Commissioner Stephens further asked for the legal right to develop the property if the applicant meets all of the requirements. Mr. Whitely confirmed that the application meets the staff's understanding of the county's requirements.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the cost of road maintenance and whether the costs would increase. Mr. Rothwell explained that the county can't specifically speak to the cost of road maintenance, but the main cost of the road maintenance is mobilizing the equipment and labor. Mr. Rothwell also highlighted that there would be an additional contributor.

Commissioner Kefalas asked whether the county has reached out to emergency facilities about the current road. Mr. Whitely explained that they reached out to the Poudre Fire Authority, and they said the road would need to meet the minimum road requirements, which is the 20 feet the applicant is asking for.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about the turnaround. Mr. Whitely explained that the turnaround that is being requested is fully on the applicant's land and meets the minimum requirements.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about the timeline and what the delay has been. Mr. Whitely explained that the delay was because of the inability to create a road maintenance agreement. Mr. Whitely explained that the original planner left the county and remained inactive until the applicant

came to ask if there was a way to move forward with a road maintenance agreement. After a conversation with the county attorney, the application moved forward.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about other informal road agreements within the county. Mr. Whitely explained that a road maintenance agreement is standard when subdividing land. However, this application was not fully divided, so the road maintenance agreement was not required.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the Poudre Fire Authority requirements and the difference between a cul-de-sac and a turnaround. Mr. Whitely spoke about the initial conversation, which was to create a cul-de-sac that would require land for other properties. What is currently being requested is a turnaround that would be entirely on the subject property. Mr. Whitely explained that if the lot were divided further, a cul-de-sac would likely be needed.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about the zoning and whether one home could be built on the land without drastically affecting the land. Mr. Whitely explained that a previous County Commissioner approved allowing this land to be developed rather than leaving it open space (Conservation Development).

Commissioner Kefalas asked about Conservation Development. Mr. Whitely explained that that would be a different process than what is being done today.

Commissioner Kefalas asked the applicant whether they suggested any additional costs for road maintenance. Mr. Weixelman explained that they would pay for the road development to meet county standards. Mr. Weixelman explained that they are willing to contribute to the road currently and do their fair share.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally asked about the timeline of this process. Mr. Whitely explained that this plot was originally classified in 2003. Then, approval to allow this subdivision was obtained, and a subdivision application was submitted in 2018. There were many different iterations of this application. It went to the planning commissioner in 2022.

DELIBERATION:

Commissioner Stephens thanked everyone for coming out to speak. Commissioner Stephens expressed a hope that the road maintenance could be figured out. Commissioner Stephens explained that the Commissioners don't consider road maintenance as that will be a civil issue. Commissioner Stephens explained that she would support this application as it meets the county's requirements.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally explained she was uncomfortable with this application. Commissioner Shadduck-McNally expressed frustration with the criteria not allowing nuance to the application and neighbor complaints. Commissioner Shadduck-McNally requests that the applicants work to create an agreement among themselves. Commissioner Shadduck-McNally explained that the applicants had met all the requirements.

Mr. Haug explained that the County could stay the decision and that the land could never be developed or allowed it to be developed based on the county's criteria. Mr. Haug explained that if it was a condition of approval to develop a road maintenance agreement, it is likely that there would still be a standstill.

Commissioner Kefalas asked about a condition of approval to reach an agreement. Mr. Haug explained that if one neighbor refused to agree, the lot would remain undeveloped for perpetuity.

Commissioner Kefalas explained that the relevant criteria have been met and would support the application.

MOTION

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally moved that the Board of County Commissioners approve the Linda Vista Subdivision, File No. 18-LAND3743, subject to the eight conditions found in the Planning Commission and Development Services Team recommendation.

Motion carried 3-0.

With there being no further business, the Board adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2024

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS MEETING

The Board of County Commissioners met at 9:00 a.m. with County Manager Lorenda Volker. Chair Kefalas presided. Commissioner Shadduck-McNally and Commissioner Stephens were present. Also, present were Sarah Martin and Tom Clayton, Commissioner's Office, and Tessa Beaty, Deputy Clerk.

Commissioner Kefalas opened the meeting with the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Cindy Buckardt, President of Larimer County 4-H Foundation, addressed the Board. Ms. Buckardt gave a brief history of bake sales and the importance of this kind of fundraising. Ms. Buckardt expressed a belief that there is no benefit to processed food over home-cooked food. Ms. Buckardt spoke about the mission of the 4H program and how a bake sale would support this mission and the community.

Chris Eikenberg, a resident of Larimer County, addressed the Board. She asked for authority to preach in Larimer County and bring God into the county. Ms. Eikenberg brought a USB to be given to the commissioners.

Commissioner Kefalas closed public comment.

Commissioner Stephens and Shadduck-McNally expressed gratitude for the public comment and highlighted the First Amendment of the freedom of religion.

Commissioner Kefalas expressed understanding of the 4H bake sale and wished to handle the situation accordingly and find a resolution.

2. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE WEEK OF JANUARY 29, 2024:

MOTION:

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally moved that the Board of County Commissioners approve the minutes for the week of January 29, 2024.

Motion carried 3-0.

3. REVIEW OF THE SCHEDULE FOR THE WEEKS OF FEBRUARY 12, 2024, AND FEBRUARY 19, 2024: Ms. Martin reviewed the upcoming schedule with the Board.

4. CONSENT AGENDA:

AGREEMENTS

1. WORKFORCE INNOVATION OPPORTUNITY ACT (WIOA) MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) JOB CORPS SERVICE DELIVERY AGREEMENT

APPOINTMENTS

1. RESCIND APPOINTMENT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION – GARY GREEN

2. RECOMMENDED MIDTERM APPOINTMENT TO THE RED FEATHER LAKES COMMUNITY LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES – PATRICE DIEM

LIQUOR LICENSES

1. LIQUOR LICENSE NOTICE OF HEARING – HORSETOOTH CANYON GRILL LLC, DBA HOSE TOOTH CANYON GRILL – FORT COLLINS, COLORADO

MISCELLANEOUS

1. WARRANTY MEMORANDUM FOR PATHWAYS HOSPICE SITE PLAN LANDSCAPING 20-ZONE2685

2. COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (CDOT) CERTIFICATION OF ROAD MILEAGE FOR LARIMER COUNTY

3. CF WYATT MULTIFAMILY DST STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE

4. ROBERT A. MCDONALD & JANET L. MCDONALD STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
5. WINDSOR HOTEL PARTNERS LLC STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
6. BINK M CO STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
7. BINKM COMPANY LLC STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
8. DAVID N TANEBAU, DEBORAH J WHITLER STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
9. LEFLER FRANCIS E/NANCY K STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
10. MILLBRAE SQUARE COMPANY STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
11. FRYE KENNETH A STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE
12. HOUSKA JOHN C/JENNIFER M STIPULATION AS TO TAX YEAR 2023 VALUE

M O T I O N

Commissioner Stephens moved the Board of County Commissioners to approve the Consent Agenda for February 6, 2024.

Motion carried 3-0.

5. COMMISSIONERS' GUESTS: Norma Gene Goodheart, McKayley Lane's Chaperone, addressed the Board. Ms. Goodheart gave a brief history of the Miss Loveland Valentine program and Ms. Lane herself.

McKayley Lane, 2024 Miss Loveland Valentine, addressed the Board. Ms. Lane gave a brief speech about what the Loveland community meant to her. Ms. Lane highlighted the wonderful parts of Loveland, Colorado, such as the community feel, the nature of the city, and its history.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally expressed gratitude and excitement for this guest and the Valentine's Day card and thanked Ms. Lane for her work representing the city of Loveland and Larimer County.

Commissioner Stephens echoed the gratitude for Mr. Lane's speech and for representing Loveland across the State. He wished Ms. Lane the best of luck moving forward.

Commissioner Kefalas spoke about the happiness that having Ms. Lane come to speak has brought to this meeting.

Tina Harris, Clerk and Recorder, addressed the Board. Clerk Harris introduced a new program for high school students to design the new "I Voted" sticker. This competition will have the winning sticker being used for the 2024 general election. The community will be allowed to vote for the winner of this contest. The website is Larimer.gov/ivotedsticker, and the winner will be announced on April 19.

The Board expressed excitement for this program.

Commissioner Stephens explained that this program can help get people excited about voting early and often.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally expressed gratitude to the Clerk's office for its engagement with the community and the continued security of the elections in Larimer County. He asked how the word of this program is being spread. Clerk Harris explained how to get the word out to schools, such as hosting an open house, engaging on social media, and working with high school election judges.

Commissioner Kefalas requested hard copies of the packet to take to the Commissioner's meeting with the school districts. Commissioner Kefalas offered connections through social media and other outreach programs.

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally highlighted that Clerk Harris has been advocating on certain legislative issues.

6. DISCUSSION ITEMS: None requested.

7. COUNTY MANAGER UPDATE: County Manager Volker briefly detailed the events from the previous week.

8. COMMISSIONER ACTIVITY REPORTS: The Board briefly detailed their attendance at events during the previous week.

9. LEGAL MATTERS:

The Executive Session is pursuant to C.R.S. 26-6-402(4)(b): Conferences with an attorney to receive legal advice regarding Endo Pharmaceutical's proposed Plan of Reorganization in Bankruptcy Court.

MOTION

Commissioner Shadduck-McNally moved the Board of County Commissioners to enter Executive Session pursuant to C.R.S. 26-6-402(4)(b): Conferences with an attorney for the purpose of receiving legal advice regarding the proposed Plan of Reorganization in Bankruptcy Court by Endo Pharmaceutical.

Motion carried 3-0.

With there being no further business, the Board adjourned at 10:10 a.m.


JOHN KEFALAS
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

TINA HARRIS
CLERK AND RECORDER

ATTEST:


Tessa Beaty, Deputy Clerk

